

REMARKS

This amendment supplements the amendment dated June 12, 2007 filed in response to the Office action of February 12, 2007.

Claim 1 is currently amended to recite that the chassis is “configured as a casing with a metal surface wherein at least two of the four longest sides of the chassis are respectively defined by a dielectric wiring substrate” and that the metal parts on the outer side of the dielectric wiring substrates are “fixed to one another.” Support for these features can be found, for example, in FIG. 1C and on pg. 7, line 4 to pg. 8, line 2. No new matter has been added.

In contrast, the Sato et al. reference does not disclose or suggest a chassis “configured as a casing with a metal surface” in which at least two of the four longest sides are respectively defined by a dielectric wiring substrate as recited in pending claim 1. Even if the Sato et al. reference includes a chassis, which the applicant disputes, then, at most, only substrate board 22 can be considered as a casing with a metal surface (*see* FIGS. 1-4). Furthermore, the Sato et al. reference does not disclose or suggest that the metal parts on the outside of dielectric wiring substrates are “fixed to one another.”

At least for the foregoing reasons, claim 1 should be allowed.

Claims 2-8 depend from claim 1 and should be allowed for at least the same reasons as claim 1.

It is believed that all of the pending claims have been addressed. However, the absence of a reply to a specific rejection, issue or comment does not signify agreement with or concession of that rejection, issue or comment. In addition, because the arguments made above may not be exhaustive, there may be reasons for patentability of any or all pending claims (or other claims) that have not been expressed. Finally, nothing in this paper should be construed as an intent to concede any issue with regard to any claim, except as specifically stated in this paper, and the amendment of any claim does not necessarily signify concession of unpatentability of the claim prior to its amendment.


Applicant : Takeshi Fujimoto et al.
Serial No. : 10/628,777
Filed : July 28, 2003
Page : 5 of 5

Attorney's Docket No.: 12852-017001 / 103063-US-00
K. Takahashi

The fee for the Petition for Extension of Time is being paid concurrently herewith on the Electronic Filing System (EFS) by way of Deposit Account authorization. Please apply any other charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 6/18/2007


Richard P. Ferrara
Reg. No. 30,632

Fish & Richardson P.C.
Citigroup Center
52nd Floor
153 East 53rd Street
New York, New York 10022-4611
Telephone: (212) 765-5070
Facsimile: (212) 258-2291